

DCLI Board Phone Meeting

Sunday, October 14, 2018 @ 7:00 PM via Conference Call

Members Present: Ron Chandik, Dave McGuire, Jeff Schulken, Tys Sniffen, and Ginny Stone;
Members Absent: Simon Field, Mac Marshall,

Visitor(s): None

A. General Discussion

1. Tys: Contract is ready with WG&E for \$200K including paving from Palm to the third bridge, Paving from Little Buck to Mike Brown's, and Paving first section of Hartman. That leaves us with \$51K left in the SBA Loan.
2. Dave: As part of the \$200K all existing pavement from Bear Creek Road to the third bridge is being repaired. Some of the bad areas will be ground up and re-furnished close to new pavement. There will be a new section of pavement between Palm Cutoff and Rons Road connecting to old sections of pavement. There is a section of pavement from Deer Creek up Hartman to Haagenson's driveway. There is a section of pavement on Ramble from Little Buck to Mike Brown's. Since we had \$50K left, Dave asked WG&E what it would take to continue the paving on Ramble from Little Buck down to Deer Creek. Dave measured it last night and \$50K equals 740 ft of pavement, 3 inches thick and 15 feet wide. WG&E said that they could pave from Mike Brown's to Jose's flat spot on Deer Creek using that additional \$50K. Dave says he sent emails to the Board including this proposal and he received no input. Dave hesitates to change the plan because WG&E is about to start work. Dave supports the proposal to go down from Little Buck on Ramble and do more paving because there are more Residents on Ramble and a lot more traffic than on Hartman. But there are some other choices including giving the \$51K back to the SBA, 370 feet more on Ramble and add another 370 section on Hartman. Dave feels that all of the other areas on Deer Creek Road are too close to the creek. We could also do some on Jacks Road, but there doesn't seem to be much demand for it. We could also do 300 feet on both Hartman and Ramble and hold back 140 feet for cost overruns. What do other Board Members think we should do with the \$51K ?
3. Ron: I do not feel that it is a good option to give the \$51K back to the SBA. Also, we need a reserve to cover WG&E unanticipated cost overruns.
4. Ginny: She thinks that having a \$27K reserve using SBA reimbursed costs for prior year storm damage is a smart thing to do. WG&E could have cost overruns and by doing work on Ramble and Hartman first, there may not be enough left to do a good job on the main road. She understands to logic of doing additional work on Ramble, but she is not totally supportive of the effort. \$160K of our budget is too much to spend on just Ramble. There are sections of existing pavement on Upper Deer Creek that have been eroded over the years and could be refurbished now as one alternative.
5. Ron: Is it possible to just do the additional paving on the bottom at Deer Creek ?
6. Dave: Both Ron and Ginny are suggesting major re-bids on the project. Dave drove the road again with WG&E looking for areas that might result in overruns and WG&E (and Dave) feel the current \$200K bid is solid and unlikely to change. He believes that keeping a reserve of SBA Loan money is a waste of resources and contrary to what the membership intended for the funds.
7. Ginny: She and other Board Members were unaware of the additional \$51K available due to WG&E's lower than expected bid and she was unaware of what looks

like a unilateral decision on how to spend the \$51K. She thinks we need more discussion on this issue.

8. Ron: It looks to me that we have no disagreement on the original \$200K bid projects and WG&E can regard this as settled. The only issue is what to do with the additional \$51K. Is it possible to just re-pave to bottom of Ramble at Deer Creek Road as an alternative usage of the \$51K ?

9. Dave: He proposes re-writing the bid to include putting 740 feet of asphalt any place we want it. Then the bid and SBA Loan would match and we would be spending money on the roadway as the Membership expects.

10. Dave: He does not support using SBA funds for the minor asphalt repair on Upper Deer Creek.

11. Ginny: Agrees to abide by a vote of the Board, but she does not support spending the additional funds on paving Ramble from Little Buck to Deer Creek.

12. Ron: If you don't want to hold a reserve, I think we should spend the money on the road. My proposal is to re-pave the bottom of Ramble and to use any funds left to pave another section of the road.

13. Tys: Ron and Ginny, what do you think about the proposal to spend \$20K on Hartman and \$20K on Ramble and keeping \$10K for additional undefined work ?

14. Ginny: I do not agree on spending any more money on Ramble.

15. Tys: He thinks the Ramble work is justified but understands Ginny's concern about the total amount spent on Ramble versus the rest of the road system. What about paving the lower section of Ramble at Deer Creek and going past the yellow gate toward Bear Creek ?

16. After much discussion, a compromise proposal was proposed: Keep the existing \$200K bid as is; re-pave the bottom of Ramble at Deer Creek; then access the situation to see if WG&E can re-pair the existing pavement on Upper Deer Creek; then look for paving projects that will utilize the remaining portion on the \$51K SBA Loan so that all of the SBA Loan will be spent on the DCLI roadway.

17. Tys: Per Dave's inquiry, Tys did receive a revised contract from WG&E stating the projects of the \$200K bid PLUS \$4.50 per square foot of paving as directed. Matt from WG&E wants a \$50K deposit when his wheels start rolling next week. Tys and Jeff will have the check ready for him.

18. Dave: Of the three contractors that Dave talked with Matt has been the most responsive, cooperative, and trustworthy person to deal with. Dave trusts him and thinks the project will go well.

19. Dave: Last thing to talk about: He is still trying to find people to guard the road during closures. Are there any volunteers ? Tys: None of these people but me are going to do that, so let's talk about it.

B. Tys formally closed the meeting 39 minutes after it started.

Submitted

December 9, 2018

Ron Chandik, DCLI Secretary